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The Inventory of Most Affordable Homes Falls to A New Low

Mortgage rates appear to be boosting the housing market. In January 2020, Fannie Mae’s Home Purchase Sentiment Index, which measures consumers’ housing-related attitudes, intentions and perceptions, rose 1.3 points to a reading of 93.0. The improvement was helped by a growing share of consumers saying they expect mortgage rates to remain steady.

However, the already low supply of homes for sale continues to fall. According to our calculations from Zillow data, the months’ supply of homes available for sale declined to 3.4 months in November 2019 down from an average of 5.5 between 2013 and 2014, a period when the for-sale inventory was largely steady (figure 1). This means that, at the current sales pace, the available inventory would be exhausted in about three-and-a-half months.

The decline in the months’ supply reflects a drop in the for-sale inventory, particularly the inventory of the most affordable homes. Data compiled by Zillow indicates that the total number of home sales in November 2019 -- 438,257 -- was 22 percent greater than the average monthly sale between 2013 and 2014 of 358,453. In contrast, the total for-sale inventory in November 2019 of 1.35 million was 24 percent less than its average between 2013 and 2014 of 1.80 million.

Comparing the inventory of for-sale homes in November 2019 to the average between 2013 and 2014, we see differences in the rate of decrease over three price tiers. The inventory of homes in the top third tier fell by 20 percent in November 2019 compared to the 2013-14 average, the middle tier fell by 26 percent but the bottom tier fell the most by 31 percent. At 299,496 as of November 2019, the for-sale inventory of bottom-tier homes was below 300,000 for the first time since at least 2013.

In a strong housing market with limited supply, one would expect very few listings to receive price cuts. In fact, the share of price cuts has been fairly steady, albeit slightly above its 2013 level. It ticked up in 2018, due to affordability concerns stemming largely from higher mortgage rates, and declined slightly in 2019.

However, the percentage price cut has consistently shrunk as home prices have risen and months’ supply has contracted. In January 2013, the median price cut for homes with a price cut was 4.2 percent on a 12-month moving average basis. By December 2019, it was 2.7 percent.

In 2019, first lien mortgage originations totaled $2.38 trillion, the highest level since 2006, as low rates fueled strong refinance activity (Page 6).

The share of loans 90 or more days delinquent rose slightly from 0.97% in Q3 2019 to 0.98% in Q4 2019, per MBA’s National Delinquency Survey; we believe this reflects seasonal factors (Page 24).

Total mortgage insurance (PMI, FHA, and VA) activity increased from $666.0 billion in 2018 to $840.8 billion in 2019, driven by refinance activity, which raised PMI, FHA, and VA volumes (Page 32).
MARKET SIZE OVERVIEW

The Federal Reserve’s Flow of Fund Report has indicated a gradually increasing total value of the housing market, driven primarily by growing home equity since 2012. The Q3 2019 numbers show that while total household equity was steady this quarter at $19.7 trillion, mortgage debt outstanding grew slightly from $11.0 trillion in Q2 to $11.1 trillion in Q3 2019, bringing the total value of the housing market to $30.7 trillion, 20.3 percent higher than the pre-crisis peak in 2006. Agency MBS account for 62.2 percent of the total mortgage debt outstanding, private-label securities make up 4.1 percent, and unsecuritized first liens make up 29.2 percent. Second liens comprise the remaining 4.6 percent of the total.

### Value of the US Housing Market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Debt, household mortgages</th>
<th>Household equity</th>
<th>Total value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$11.1 trillions</td>
<td>$19.7 trillions</td>
<td>$30.7 trillions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$11.1 trillions</td>
<td>$19.7 trillions</td>
<td>$30.7 trillions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** Federal Reserve Flow of Funds and Urban Institute. Last updated December 2019.

### Size of the US Residential Mortgage Market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Agency MBS</th>
<th>Unsecuritized first liens</th>
<th>Private Label Securities</th>
<th>Second Liens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$0.45 trillions</td>
<td>$2.32 trillions</td>
<td>$0.51 trillions</td>
<td>$0.45 trillions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$6.88 trillions</td>
<td>$3.23 trillions</td>
<td>$0.51 trillions</td>
<td>$0.45 trillions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Note:** Unsecuritized first liens includes loans held by commercial banks, GSEs, savings institutions, and credit unions.
MARKET SIZE OVERVIEW

As of December 2019, first lien mortgage debt in the private-label securitization market totaled $334 billion and was split among prime (13.6 percent), Alt-A (33.2 percent), and subprime (53.2 percent) loans. In January 2020, outstanding securities in the agency market totaled $6.9 trillion, 42.2 percent of which was Fannie Mae, 28.1 percent Freddie Mac, and 29.6 percent Ginnie Mae. Ginnie Mae has had more outstanding securities than Freddie Mac since June 2016.

Private-Label Securities by Product Type

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities

Sources: CoreLogic, Black Knight and Urban Institute.

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.
First Lien Origination Volume

For full-year 2019, first lien originations totaled $2.38 trillion, up from the full year 2018 volume of $1.63 trillion. The share of portfolio originations was 35.9 percent in 2019, a significant jump from the 30.0 percent share in 2018. The 2019 GSE share was down at 42.9 percent, compared to 45.7 percent for the full year 2018. The FHA/VA share fell to 19.3 percent, as compared to 22.6 percent last year. Private-label securitization at 1.9 percent maintained the same share as one year ago, but remains a fraction of its share in the pre-bubble years.

Adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs) accounted for as much as 52 percent of all new originations during the peak of the housing bubble (top chart). The ARM share fell to an historic low of 1 percent in 2009, and then slowly increased to a high of 12 percent in December 2013. The November 2019 share of 2.0 percent is only marginally above the historical low reached in 2009. The 15-year fixed-rate mortgage, predominantly a refinance product, accounted for 11.0 percent of new originations in November 2019. Since late 2018, while there has been some month-to-month variation, the refinance share (bottom chart) has generally grown for both the GSEs and Ginnie Mae as interest rates have dropped.

**Product Composition**

| Fixed-rate 30-year mortgage | Fixed-rate 15-year mortgage | Adjustable-rate mortgage | Other |

**Percent Refi at Issuance**

Sources: Black Knight, eMBS, HMDA, SIFMA and Urban Institute.
Note: Includes purchase and refinance originations.
OVERVIEW
CASH-OUT REFINANCES

When mortgage rates are low, the share of cash-out refinances tends to be relatively smaller, as refinancing allows borrowers to save money by taking advantage of lower rates. But when rates are high, the cash-out refinance share is higher since the rate reduction incentive is gone and the only reason to refinance is to take out equity. The cash-out share of all refinances fell from 61 percent in the second quarter of 2019 to 45 percent in the third quarter, reflecting increased rate-refi activity due to falling rates in 2019 Q3. While the cash-out refinance share for conventional mortgages may seem high at 45 percent, equity take-out volumes are substantially lower than during the bubble years. The cash out refi shares for FHA and VA have fallen over the last three months, likely reflecting the impact of the latest policy changes by HUD and Ginnie Mae to limit cash-out refi activity, while the cash out refi share for the GSEs has risen.

Loan Amount after Refinancing

Cash-out Refi Share of All Originations

Equity Take-Out from Conventional Mortgage Refinance Activity

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.
Note: Data as of December 2019.

Sources: Freddie Mac and Urban Institute.
Note: Estimates include conventional mortgages only.
OVERVIEW

AGENCY NONBANK ORIGINATION SHARE

The nonbank origination share has been rising steadily for all three agencies since 2013. The Ginnie Mae nonbank share has been consistently higher than the GSEs, despite falling in January 2020 to 87 percent. Fannie’s nonbank share decreased slightly in January, to 61 percent, while Freddie’s nonbank share increased slightly to 51 percent (note that these numbers can be volatile on a month-to-month basis.) Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac all have higher nonbank origination shares for refi activity than for purchase activity.

Nonbank Origination Share: All Loans

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.

Nonbank Origination Share: Purchase Loans

Nonbank Origination Share: Refi Loans

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.
The non-agency share of mortgage securitizations has increased gradually over the post-crisis years, from 1.8 percent in 2016 to 7.4 percent in 2018. It fell to 4.96 percent for 2019. In January 2020 it fell further to 2.25 percent. Non-agency securitization volume totaled $111.52 billion in 2019, an increase relative to 2018’s $100.55 billion total. But there is a change in the mix. Alt-A and subprime securitizations have grown, while scratch and dent securitizations have fallen since the same period last year. Non-agency securitizations continue to be tiny compared to pre-crisis levels.

Sources: Inside Mortgage Finance and Urban Institute.

Note: Based on data from January 2020. Monthly non-agency volume is subject to revision.
The Urban Institute’s Housing Credit Availability Index (HCAI) assesses lenders’ tolerance for both borrower risk and product risk, calculating the share of owner-occupied purchase loans that are likely to go 90+ days delinquent over the life of the loan. The Housing Finance Policy Center’s latest credit availability index (HCAI) shows that mortgage credit availability decreased slightly to 5.29 percent in the third quarter of 2019 (Q3 2019), down marginally from the previous quarter. The decline was driven by a small drop in credit availability in all three channels, with the largest decrease in the government channel, as well as a small increase in the portfolio and private label share, which is relatively lower risk. More information about the HCAI is available here.

All Channels

The GSE market has expanded the credit box proportionately more than the government channel in recent years, although the GSE box is still much narrower. The trend toward greater credit availability in the GSE channel began in Q2 2011. From Q2 2011 to Q3 2019, the total risk taken by the GSE channel has doubled, from 1.4 percent to 2.8 percent. This is still very modest by pre-crisis standards.

Sources: eMBS, CoreLogic, HMDA, IMF, and Urban Institute.
Note: Default is defined as 90 days or more delinquent at any point. Last updated January 2020.
Government Channel

The total default risk the government channel is willing to take bottomed out at 9.6 percent in Q3 2013. It has gradually increased since then, reaching 11.6 percent in Q3 2019, down marginally from 11.9 percent in Q2 2019.

Portfolio and Private Label Securities Channels

The portfolio and private-label securities (PP) channel took on more product risk than the government and GSE channels during the bubble. After the crisis, PP channel's product and borrower risks dropped sharply. The numbers have stabilized since 2013, with product risk fluctuating below 0.6 percent and borrower risk in the 2.0-3.0 percent range. Borrower risk decreased in the third quarter of 2019, and now stands at 2.72 percent, down from 2.78 percent in Q2 2019. Total risk in the PP channel was 2.73 percent in Q3 2019.

Sources: eMBS, CoreLogic, HMDA, IMF, and Urban Institute.

Note: Default is defined as 90 days or more delinquent at any point. Last updated January 2020.
Access to credit remains tight, especially for lower FICO borrowers. The median FICO for current purchase loans is about 41 points higher than the pre-crisis level of around 700. The 10th percentile, which represents the lower bound of creditworthiness to qualify for a mortgage, decreased slightly to 647 in December 2019, but remains high compared to low-600s pre-bubble. The median LTV at origination of 94 percent also remains high, reflecting the rise of FHA and VA lending. Although current median DTI of 39 percent exceeds the pre-bubble level of 36 percent, higher FICO scores serve as a strong compensating factor.

Sources: Black Knight, eMBS, HMDA, SIFMA, CoreLogic and Urban Institute.
Note: Includes owner-occupied purchase loans only. DTI data prior to April 2018 is from CoreLogic; after that date, it is from Black Knight. Data as of December 2019.
Credit has been tight for all borrowers with less-than-stellar credit scores—especially in MSAs with high housing prices. For example, the mean origination FICO for borrowers in San Francisco-Redwood City-South San Francisco, CA is just below 775. Across all MSAs, lower average FICO scores tend to be correlated with high average LTVs, as these MSAs rely heavily on FHA/VA financing.

**Origination FICO and LTV**

**Sources:** Black Knight, eMBS, HMDA, SIFMA and Urban Institute.

**Note:** Includes owner-occupied purchase loans only. Data as of December 2019.
AGENCY NONBANK CREDIT BOX

Nonbank originators have played a key role in expanding access to credit. Recently, in the GSE space, FICO scores for banks and nonbanks have nearly converged; the differential is much larger in the Ginnie Mae space. FICO scores for banks and nonbanks in both GSE and Ginnie Mae segments increased over the course of 2019, due to increased refi activity; this activity is skewed toward higher FICO scores. Comparing Ginnie Mae FICO scores today versus five years ago (late 2014), FICO scores have risen significantly for the banks, while those of the non-banks were roughly constant; this reflects a sharp cut-back in FHA lending by many banks. As pointed out on page 11, banks comprise only about 13 percent of Ginnie Mae originations.

Agency FICO: Bank vs. Nonbank

GSE FICO: Bank vs. Nonbank

Ginnie Mae FICO: Bank vs. Nonbank

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.
The median LTVs for nonbank and bank originations are comparable, while the median DTI for nonbank loans is higher than for bank loans. From early 2017 to early 2019, there was a sustained increase in DTIs, which has partially reversed beginning in the spring of 2019. This is true for both Ginnie Mae and the GSEs, for banks and nonbanks. As interest rates increased, DTIs rose, because borrower payments were driven up relative to incomes. With the fall in interest rates in 2019, DTIs have declined by a significant amount.
Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the MBA estimate 2020 origination volume to be between $1.9 and $2.3 trillion, on par with 2016, higher than the $1.68-$1.77 trillion in 2018, and slightly lower than the $2.1 to $2.2 trillion in 2019. Origination volume increased substantially from 2018 to 2019 due to strong refinancing activity as mortgage rates fell steeply.

**Total Originations and Refinance Shares**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Total, FNMA estimate</th>
<th>Total, FHLMC estimate</th>
<th>Total, MBA estimate</th>
<th>Refi Share (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q1</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q2</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q3</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Q4</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q1</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q2</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q3</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Q4</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2052</td>
<td>2125</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1826</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>2107</td>
<td>2068</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2281</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Mortgage Bankers Association and Urban Institute.

Note: Shaded boxes indicate forecasted figures. All figures are estimates for total single-family market. Regarding interest rates, the yearly averages for 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 were 3.8, 4.0, 4.6, and 3.9 percent. For 2020, the respective projections for Fannie, Freddie, and MBA are 3.4, 3.8, and 3.7 percent.

**Originator Profitability and Unmeasured Costs**

In January 2020, Originator Profitability and Unmeasured Costs (OPUC) stood at $2.59 per $100 loan, much lower than the 2013 peak, but higher than late 2018/early 2019 levels. OPUC, formulated and calculated by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, is a good relative measure of originator profitability. OPUC uses the sales price of a mortgage in the secondary market (less par) and adds two sources of profitability; retained servicing (both base and excess servicing, net of g-fees), and points paid by the borrower. OPUC is generally high when interest rates are low, as originators are capacity constrained due to refinance demand and have no incentive to reduce rates. Conversely, when interest rates are higher and refi activity low, competition forces originators to lower rates, driving profitability down.


Note: OPUC is a is a monthly (4-week moving) average as discussed in Fuster et al. (2013).
STATE OF THE MARKET
HOUSING SUPPLY

Strong demand for housing in recent years, coupled with historically low new home construction has led to a low—3.1 months—supply of for-sale homes in January 2020. This level is below the 3.9 months in January 2019. Pre-crisis it averaged 4.6 months. Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, the MBA, and the NAHB forecast 2020 housing starts to be 1.28 to 1.38 million units, slightly outpacing 2019 levels. Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the MBA predict total home sales of 6.1 to 6.2 million units in 2020, slightly above 2019 levels.

Housing Starts and Homes Sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Housing Starts, thousands</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Home Sales, thousands</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total, FNMA estimate</td>
<td>Total, FHLMC estimate</td>
<td>Total, MBA estimate</td>
<td>Total, NAHB estimate</td>
<td>Total, FNMA estimate</td>
<td>Total, FHLMC estimate</td>
<td>Total, MBA estimate</td>
<td>Total, NAHB estimate*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>6011</td>
<td>6010</td>
<td>6001</td>
<td>5385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>6123</td>
<td>6120</td>
<td>6158</td>
<td>5522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>5957</td>
<td>5960</td>
<td>5956</td>
<td>5357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>6022</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6022</td>
<td>5442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>6146</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>5616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>1342</td>
<td>6146</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>6383</td>
<td>5659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** Mortgage Bankers Association, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, National Association of Home Builders and Urban Institute.

**Note:** Shaded boxes indicate forecasted figures; column labels indicate source of estimate. *NAHB home sales estimate is for single-family structures only, it excludes condos and co-ops. Other figures include all single-family sales.
STATE OF THE MARKET

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

National Mortgage Affordability Over Time

Home prices remain affordable by historic standards, despite price increases over the last 7 years, as interest rates remain relatively low in an historic context. As of December 2019, with a 20 percent down payment, the share of median income needed for the monthly mortgage payment stood at 23.3 percent; with 3.5 down, it is 26.7 percent. Since February 2019, the median housing expenses to income ratio has been slightly lower than the 2001-2003 average. As shown in the bottom picture, mortgage affordability varies widely by MSA.

Mortgage Affordability by MSA


Note: Mortgage affordability is the share of median family income devoted to the monthly principal, interest, taxes, and insurance payment required to buy the median home at the Freddie Mac prevailing rate 2018 for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage and property tax and insurance at 1.75 percent of the housing value. Data for the bottom chart as of Q2 2019.
**STATE OF THE MARKET**

**HOME PRICE INDICES**

**National Year-Over-Year HPI Growth**

Year-over-year home price appreciation slowed slightly in December 2019, as measured by Zillow’s hedonic index, but increased slightly according to Black Knight’s repeat sales index. Although housing affordability remains constrained, especially at the lower end of the market, recent declines in rates serve as a partial offset. We would expect the lower end of the market to continue to appreciate more than the upper end, as low-end inventory is very tight.

**Changes in Black Knight HPI for Top MSAs**

After rising 54.6 percent from the trough, national house prices are now 15.9 percent higher than pre-crisis peak levels. At the MSA level, ten of the top 15 MSAs have exceeded their pre-crisis peak HPI: New York, NY; Los Angeles, CA; Atlanta, GA; Houston, TX; Dallas, TX; Minneapolis, MN; Seattle, WA; Denver, CO, San Diego, CA, and Anaheim, CA. Two MSAs particularly hard hit by the boom and bust—Chicago, IL and Riverside, CA—are 10.1 and 9.2 percent, respectively, below peak values.

![Graph showing Black Knight HPI and Zillow HVI from 2001 to 2019](image)

**Sources:** Black Knight, Zillow, and Urban Institute. **Note:** Data as of December 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2000 to peak</th>
<th>Peak to trough</th>
<th>Trough to current</th>
<th>% above peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York-Jersey City-White Plains, NY-NJ</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA</td>
<td>179.8</td>
<td>-38.1</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>-38.4</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>-35.6</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td>-28.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ</td>
<td>113.2</td>
<td>-51.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA</td>
<td>175.3</td>
<td>-51.7</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>-30.4</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>-33.1</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>-24.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego-Carlsbad, CA</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>-37.5</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA</td>
<td>163.3</td>
<td>-35.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** Black Knight HPI and Urban Institute. **Note:** Data as of December 2019. **Note:** This table includes the largest 15 Metropolitan areas by mortgage count.
First-Time Homebuyer Share

In December 2019, the FTHB share for FHA, which has always been more focused on first time homebuyers, fell very slightly to 81.5 percent. The FTHB share of VA lending increased in December, to 55.4 percent. The GSE FTHB share in December was 45.4 percent. The bottom table shows that based on mortgages originated in December 2019, the average FTHB was more likely than an average repeat buyer to take out a smaller loan, have a lower credit score, and higher LTV, thus paying a higher interest rate.

Sources: eMBS, Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and Urban Institute.
Note: All series measure the first-time homebuyer share of purchase loans for principal residences.

Comparison of First-Time and Repeat Homebuyers, GSE and FHA Originations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>GSEs</th>
<th>FHA</th>
<th>GSEs and FHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First-time</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>First-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Amount ($)</td>
<td>257,243</td>
<td>277,976</td>
<td>226,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Score</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTV (%)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTI (%)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Rate (%)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute.
Note: Based on owner-occupied purchase mortgages originated in December 2019.
Loans in and near negative equity continued to decline in 3Q 2019; 3.7 percent now have negative equity, an additional 0.8 percent have less than 5 percent equity. Loans that are 90 days delinquent or in foreclosure have also been in a long decline, falling to 1.76 percent in the fourth quarter of 2019. New loan modifications and liquidations (bottom) have continued to decline. Since Q3, 2007, total loan modifications (HAMP and proprietary) are roughly equal to total liquidations. Hope Now reports show 8,616,341 borrowers received a modification from Q3 2007 to Q2 2019, compared with 8,842,251 liquidations in the same period.

Negative Equity Share

Loans in Serious Delinquency/Foreclosure

Loan Modifications and Liquidations

Sources: CoreLogic and Urban Institute.  
Note: Loans with negative equity refer to loans above 100 percent LTV. Loans near negative equity refer to loans above 95 percent LTV. Last updated December 2019.


Sources: Hope Now and Urban Institute.  
Note: Liquidations include both foreclosure sales and short sales. Last updated November 2019.
Both GSEs continue to contract their retained portfolios. Since December 2018, Fannie Mae has contracted by 16.7 percent and Freddie Mac by 12.4 percent. They are shrinking their less-liquid assets (mortgage loans and non-agency MBS) faster than they are shrinking their entire portfolio. The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac portfolios are now both well below the $250 billion maximum portfolio size; they were required to reach this terminal level by year end 2018. Fannie met the target in 2017, Freddie met the target in February 2018.

### Fannie Mae Mortgage-Related Investment Portfolio Composition

- **Current size:** $153.6 billion
- **2018 cap:** $250 billion
- **Shrinkage year-over-year:** 16.7 percent
- **Shrinkage in less-liquid assets year-over-year:** 23.4 percent

### Freddie Mac Mortgage-Related Investment Portfolio Composition

- **Current size:** $212.7 billion
- **2018 cap:** $250 billion
- **Shrinkage year-over-year:** 4.2 percent
- **Shrinkage in less-liquid assets year-over-year:** 12.4 percent

Sources: Fannie Mae and Urban Institute.
GSES UNDER CONSERVATORSHIP

EFFECTIVE GUARANTEE FEES

Guarantee Fees Charged on New Acquisitions

Fannie Mae’s average g-fees charged on new acquisitions rose from 55.9 bps in Q3 2019 to 57.0 bps in Q4, while Freddie’s remained constant at 55.0 bps. After closing in to less than 1 bp apart in Q3 for the first time in the last three years, the g-fees separated to 2 bps in Q4 2019. Today’s g-fees are markedly higher than g-fee levels in 2011 and 2012, and have contributed to the GSEs’ earnings; the bottom table shows Fannie Mae LLPAs, which are expressed as upfront charges.

Sources: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mae and Urban Institute.
Last updated February 2020.

Fannie Mae Upfront Loan-Level Price Adjustments (LLPAs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Score</th>
<th>≤60</th>
<th>60.01 - 70</th>
<th>70.01 - 75</th>
<th>75.01 - 80</th>
<th>80.01 - 85</th>
<th>85.01 - 90</th>
<th>90.01 - 95</th>
<th>95.01 - 97</th>
<th>&gt;97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 740</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720 – 739</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 – 719</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680 – 699</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660 – 679</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640 – 659</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 – 639</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 620</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Product Feature (Cumulative)


Sources: Fannie Mae and Urban Institute.
Last updated March of 2019.
GSES UNDER CONSERVATORSHIP

GSE RISK-SHARING TRANSACTIONS

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have been laying off back-end credit risk through CAS and STACR deals and through reinsurance transactions. They have also done front-end transactions with originators and reinsurers, and experimented with deep mortgage insurance coverage with private mortgage insurers. FHFA’s 2020 scorecard requires the GSEs to transfer a significant amount of credit risk to private markets. This is a departure from the 2019 scorecard, which required risk transfer specifically on 90% of new acquisitions. Fannie Mae’s CAS issuances since inception total $1.52 trillion; Freddie’s STACR totals $1.63 trillion.

### Fannie Mae – Connecticut Avenue Securities (CAS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Reference Pool Size ($m)</th>
<th>Amount Issued ($m)</th>
<th>% of Reference Pool Covered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>CAS 2013 deals</td>
<td>$26,756</td>
<td>$675</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>CAS 2014 deals</td>
<td>$227,234</td>
<td>$5,849</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>CAS 2015 deals</td>
<td>$187,126</td>
<td>$5,463</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>CAS 2016 deals</td>
<td>$236,459</td>
<td>$7,392</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>CAS 2017 deals</td>
<td>$264,697</td>
<td>$8,707</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>CAS 2018 deals</td>
<td>$205,900</td>
<td>$7,314</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R01</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$960</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R02</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R03</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>$857</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R04</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R05</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$993</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R06</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - R07</td>
<td>$26,600</td>
<td>$998</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>CAS 2019 - HRP1</td>
<td>$106,800</td>
<td>$963</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>CAS 2020 - R01</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>$1,030</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>CAS 2020 - R02</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>$1,134</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,518,572</td>
<td>$45,635</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Freddie Mac – Structured Agency Credit Risk (STACR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Reference Pool Size ($m)</th>
<th>Amount Issued ($m)</th>
<th>% of Reference Pool Covered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>STACR 2013 deals</td>
<td>$57,912</td>
<td>$1,130</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>STACR 2014 deals</td>
<td>$147,120</td>
<td>$4,916</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>STACR 2015 deals</td>
<td>$209,521</td>
<td>$6,658</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>STACR 2016 deals</td>
<td>$199,130</td>
<td>$5,541</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>STACR 2017 deals</td>
<td>$248,821</td>
<td>$5,663</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>STACR 2018 deals</td>
<td>$216,581</td>
<td>$6,055</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – DNA1</td>
<td>$24,600</td>
<td>$714</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – HQA1</td>
<td>$20,760</td>
<td>$640</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – DNA2</td>
<td>$20,500</td>
<td>$608</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – HQA2</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>$615</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – FTR1</td>
<td>$44,590</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – HRP1</td>
<td>$5,782</td>
<td>$281</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – DNA3</td>
<td>$25,533</td>
<td>$756</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – FTR2</td>
<td>$11,511</td>
<td>$284</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – HQA3</td>
<td>$19,609</td>
<td>$626</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – DNA4</td>
<td>$20,505</td>
<td>$589</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – HPA4</td>
<td>$13,399</td>
<td>$432</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – FTR3</td>
<td>$22,508</td>
<td>$151</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>STACR Series 2019 – FTR4</td>
<td>$22,263</td>
<td>$111</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>STACR Series 2020 – DNA1</td>
<td>$29,641</td>
<td>$794</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>STACR Series 2020 – DNA1</td>
<td>$24,268</td>
<td>$738</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,633,896</td>
<td>$37,442</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Urban Institute. Note: Classes A-H, M-1H, M-2H, and B-H are reference tranches only. These classes are not issued or sold. The risk is retained by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. “CE” = credit enhancement.
The figures below show the spreads on the 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 indices, as priced by dealers. Note that the older indices (2015 and 2016) skyrocketed this past summer, before tightening, while the newer indices have been gradually tightening. This reflects the fact that the older indices have narrowed since issuance, and hence are at considerable price premiums. The drop in interest rates has generated faster prepayment speeds; spreads have widened to compensate investors for a loss in the value of their premium bonds. Note that the 2015 and 2016 indices consist of the bottom mezzanine tranche in each deal, weighted by the original issuance amount; the equity tranches were not sold in these years. The 2017 and 2018 indices contain both the bottom mezzanine tranche as well as the equity tranche (the B tranche), in all deals when the latter was sold.

Sources: Vista Data Services and Urban Institute.
Note: Data as of February 14, 2020.
Serious delinquencies rates for single-family GSE loans, FHA loans and VA loans grew slightly in Q4 2019. GSE delinquencies remain just above their 2006-2007 level, while FHA and VA delinquencies (which are higher than their GSE counterparts) are well below 2006-2007 levels. GSE multifamily delinquencies have declined post-crisis and remain very low.

Serious Delinquency Rates – Single-Family Loans

Sources: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, MBA Delinquency Survey and Urban Institute. Note: Serious delinquency is defined as 90 days or more past due or in the foreclosure process. Not seasonally adjusted. FHA and VA delinquencies are reported on a quarterly basis, last updated November 2019. GSE delinquencies are reported monthly, last updated February 2020.

Serious Delinquency Rates – Multifamily GSE Loans

Sources: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Urban Institute. Note: Multifamily serious delinquency rate is the unpaid balance of loans 60 days or more past due, divided by the total unpaid balance.
Agency gross issuance was $169.0 billion through the first month of 2020, more than double the volume in January 2019. The sharp increase is due to the refinance wave, which did not begin in earnest until Q2, 2019. Net issuance (which excludes repayments, prepayments, and refinances on outstanding mortgages) totaled $34.2 billion in the first month of 2020, up 94.7 percent from the same period in 2019.

### Agency Gross Issuance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GSEs</th>
<th>Ginnie Mae</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$885.1</td>
<td>$171.5</td>
<td>$1,056.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$1,238.9</td>
<td>$169.0</td>
<td>$1,407.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$1,874.9</td>
<td>$213.1</td>
<td>$2,088.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$872.6</td>
<td>$119.2</td>
<td>$991.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$894.0</td>
<td>$81.4</td>
<td>$975.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$853.0</td>
<td>$76.7</td>
<td>$929.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$1,066.2</td>
<td>$94.9</td>
<td>$1,161.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$911.4</td>
<td>$267.6</td>
<td>$1,179.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$1,280.0</td>
<td>$451.3</td>
<td>$1,731.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$1,003.5</td>
<td>$390.7</td>
<td>$1,394.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$879.3</td>
<td>$315.3</td>
<td>$1,194.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$1,288.8</td>
<td>$405.0</td>
<td>$1,693.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$1,176.6</td>
<td>$393.6</td>
<td>$1,570.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$650.9</td>
<td>$296.3</td>
<td>$947.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$845.7</td>
<td>$436.3</td>
<td>$1,282.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$991.6</td>
<td>$508.2</td>
<td>$1,499.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$877.3</td>
<td>$455.6</td>
<td>$1,332.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$795.0</td>
<td>$400.6</td>
<td>$1,195.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$1,042.6</td>
<td>$508.6</td>
<td>$1,551.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2020 YTD | $113.1 | $56.0      | $169.0  | 115.0% \+93.1% \+107.2%  
| 2020 Ann. | $1,356.6| $671.8     | $2,028.4|

### Agency Net Issuance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GSEs</th>
<th>Ginnie Mae</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$368.40</td>
<td>-$9.90</td>
<td>$358.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$357.20</td>
<td>-$51.20</td>
<td>$306.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$334.90</td>
<td>-$77.60</td>
<td>$257.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$82.50</td>
<td>-$40.10</td>
<td>$42.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$174.20</td>
<td>-$42.20</td>
<td>$132.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$313.60</td>
<td>$0.20</td>
<td>$313.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$514.90</td>
<td>$30.90</td>
<td>$545.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$314.80</td>
<td>$196.40</td>
<td>$511.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$250.60</td>
<td>$198.30</td>
<td>$448.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-$303.20</td>
<td>$198.30</td>
<td>-$105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>-$128.40</td>
<td>$149.60</td>
<td>$21.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-$42.40</td>
<td>$119.10</td>
<td>$76.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>-$69.10</td>
<td>$87.90</td>
<td>$157.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$30.5</td>
<td>$61.6</td>
<td>$92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$75.1</td>
<td>$97.3</td>
<td>$172.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$127.4</td>
<td>$125.8</td>
<td>$253.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$168.5</td>
<td>$131.3</td>
<td>$299.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$149.4</td>
<td>$112.0</td>
<td>$261.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$197.8</td>
<td>$95.7</td>
<td>$293.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 YTD</td>
<td>$25.6</td>
<td>$8.6</td>
<td>$34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Ann.</td>
<td>$307.2</td>
<td>$103.0</td>
<td>$410.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: eMBS and Urban Institute. 
Note: Dollar amounts are in billions. Data as of January 2020.
AGENCY ISSUANCE

AGENCY GROSS ISSUANCE & FED PURCHASES

Monthly Gross Issuance

While FHA, VA and GSE lending have dominated the mortgage market since the crisis, there has been a change in the mix. The Ginnie Mae share of new issuances has risen from a precrisis level of 10-12 percent to 33.1 percent in January 2020. This share increase reflected both increases in the purchase share and in the refi share; it is down from a high mark over the past two years of 34.4 percent in October 2019.

Fed Absorption of Agency Gross Issuance

The Fed is winding down its MBS portfolio; new MBS purchases are minimal. During the period October 2014 to September 2017, the Fed ended its purchase program, but was reinvesting funds from mortgages and agency debt into the mortgage market, absorbing 20-30 percent of agency gross issuance. The portfolio wind down started in October 2017, with the Fed allowing a pre-established amount of MBS to run off each month. From October 2017 to September 2018, the Fed was still reinvesting, but by less than the prepayments and repayments. In October 2018, the amount of MBS permitted to run off each month (MBS taper) hit the $20 billion cap. Since then the amount of Fed purchases has been tiny, however, it grew marginally in 2019 due to heavy refi activity. In January 2020 Fed purchases totaled $4.9 billion, corresponding to Fed absorption of gross issuance of 2.88 percent.
MI Activity

Mortgage insurance activity via the FHA, VA and private insurers increased from $151 billion in Q4 2018 to $260 billion in Q4 2019, a 71.8 percent increase. In the fourth quarter of 2019, private mortgage insurance written decreased by $8.18 billion, FHA increased by $8.00 billion and VA increased by $10.61 billion from the previous quarter. During this period, the VA share grew from 26.1 to 29.1 percent and the FHA share also grew, from 26.6 to 28.6 percent. The private mortgage insurers share fell significantly, from 47.3 to 42.3 percent compared to the previous quarter.


MI Market Share

FHA premiums rose significantly in the years following the housing crash, with annual premiums rising from 50 to 135 basis points between 2008 to 2013 as FHA worked to shore up its finances. In January 2015, President Obama announced a 50 bps cut in annual insurance premiums, making FHA mortgages more attractive than GSE mortgages for the overwhelming majority of borrowers putting down less than 5%. The April 2016 reduction in PMI rates for borrowers with higher FICO scores and April 2018 reduction for lower FICO borrowers has partially offset that. As shown in the bottom table, a borrower putting 3.5 percent down with a FICO of less than 720 will find FHA financing to be more financially attractive, borrowers with FICOs of 720 and above will find GSE execution with PMI to be more attractive.

### FHA MI Premiums for Typical Purchase Loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number date</th>
<th>Upfront mortgage insurance premium (UFMIP) paid</th>
<th>Annual mortgage insurance premium (MIP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2001 - 7/13/2008</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14/2008 - 4/5/2010</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/4/2010 - 4/17/2011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/18/2011 - 4/8/2012</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/2012 - 6/10/2012</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/11/2012 - 3/31/2013</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2013 - 1/25/2015</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning 1/26/2015</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Ginnie Mae and Urban Institute.

Note: A typical purchase loan has an LTV over 95 and a loan term longer than 15 years. Mortgage insurance premiums are listed in basis points.

* For a short period in 2008 the FHA used a risk based FICO/LTV matrix for MI.

* Applies to purchase loans less than or equal to $625,500. Those over that amount have an annual premium of 150 bps.

* Applies to purchase loans less than or equal to $625,500. Those over that amount have an annual premium of 155 bps.

* Applies to purchase loans less than or equal to $625,500. Those over that amount have an annual premium of 105 bps.

### Initial Monthly Payment Comparison: FHA vs. PMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Property Value</th>
<th>Loan Amount</th>
<th>LTV</th>
<th>Base Rate</th>
<th>FICO 620 - 639</th>
<th>640 - 659</th>
<th>660 - 679</th>
<th>680 - 699</th>
<th>700 - 719</th>
<th>720 - 739</th>
<th>740 - 759</th>
<th>760 +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHA MI Premiums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA UFMIP</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA MIP</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMI GSE LLPA*</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMI Annual MIP</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monthly Payment

| FHA | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 | $1,315 |
| PMI | $1,571 | $1,507 | $1,471 | $1,384 | $1,340 | $1,302 | $1,261 | $1,237 |       |       |       |       |

PMI Advantage

| FHA | $256 | $193 | -$156 | -$69 | -$25 | $13 | $54 | $78 |
| PMI |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

Sources: Genworth Mortgage Insurance, Ginnie Mae, and Urban Institute.

Note: Rates as of December 2019.

Mortgage insurance premiums listed in percentage points. Grey shade indicates FHA monthly payment is more favorable, while blue indicates PMI is more favorable. The PMI monthly payment calculation does not include special programs like Fannie Mae’s HomeReady and Freddie Mac’s Home Possible (HP), both offer more favorable rates for low- to moderate-income borrowers. LLPA = Loan Level Price Adjustment, described in detail on page 25.
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