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Sir,

1. PROCEDURE

(1) On 19 November 2008\(^1\), the Commission approved the support measures for the credit institutions designed to ensure the stability of the Greek financial system (hereinafter referred to as the "Original Decision"). On 2 September 2009, Greece notified a number of amendments to the support measures and a prolongation until 31 December 2009 that were approved on 18 September 2009\(^2\). On 25 January 2010\(^3\), the Commission approved a second prolongation of the support measures until 30 June 2010. On 12 May 2010, the Commission approved an amendment to

the Guarantee Scheme\(^4\). On 30 June 2010, the Commission approved a number of amendments to the support measures and an extension until 31 December 2010\(^5\).

(2) On 21 December 2010, the Commission approved the extension of the support measures until 30 June 2011\(^6\). On 4 April 2011, the Commission approved an amendment to the support measures in the form of an increased ceiling of the Guarantee Scheme with an additional tranche amounting to EUR 30 billion\(^7\). On 30 June 2011, the Commission approved a prolongation of the support measures until 31 December 2011\(^8\). On 6 February 2012, the Commission approved an amendment to the support measures and a prolongation of the support measures until 30 June 2012\(^9\). On 6 July 2012, the Commission approved a prolongation of the support measures until 31 December 2012\(^10\). On 22 January 2013, the Commission approved a prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme until 30 June 2013\(^11\).

(3) On 25 July 2013, the Commission approved a prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme until 31 December 2013\(^12\).

(4) On 16 December 2013, the Greek authorities officially notified a prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme until 30 June 2014.

(5) Further correspondence took place between the Greek authorities and the Commission services.

(6) For reasons of urgency, Greece accepts that exceptionally the present decision is adopted in the English language.

---


\(^12\) See Commission decision of 25 July 2013 in State aid case SA. 36956 (2013/N), "Prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme for Credit Institutions in Greece", not yet published.
2. **FACTS**

2.1. **Description of the schemes**

(7) In November 2008, Greece brought forward a package of measures designed to ensure the stability of the Greek financial system. The purpose of those measures was to restore confidence and encourage healthy inter-bank lending, through i) the provision of liquidity, ii) the recapitalisation of the financial sector and iii) provision of a State guarantee to new debt issuance.

(8) A detailed description of the schemes is provided in the Original Decision, in particular recitals 2 to 5 concerning the legal basis and the objective of the schemes, and recitals 10 to 37 on the description of the measures.\(^\text{13}\)

(9) In the context of the notification of 16 December 2013, Greece seeks approval under EU State aid rules of the prolongation of two schemes: i) a Wholesale Funding Guarantee Scheme for debt instruments with a maturity of between three months and three years in return for a fee ("the Guarantee Scheme"); and ii) support through the lending of Greek State special purpose securities to credit institutions, in return for a fee, to be used to obtain liquidity from the central bank and the interbank markets ("Bond Loan Scheme").

(10) Regarding the budget for the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme ("Schemes"), they stay respectively at EUR 84.9 billion and EUR 8.1 billion.\(^\text{14}\)

2.2. **Operation of the Schemes according to an update of 30 November 2013**

(11) On 16 December 2013, the Greek authorities submitted a report dated 30 November 2013 on the operation of the Schemes.

(12) Regarding the functioning of the **Guarantee Scheme**, an amount of approximately EUR 49 billion of guarantees was outstanding, i.e. around 58% of the total amount available of EUR 84.9 billion\(^\text{15}\).


\(^{15}\) The total budget of the Guarantee Scheme includes the initial budget of the Original Decision (EUR 15 billion), the increase in the budget carried out on 12 May 2010 (EUR 15 billion), the increase in the budget carried out on 30 June 2010 (EUR 25 billion), the increase in the budget carried out on 4 April 2011 (EUR 30 billion), except the amount of EUR 123 million which has been transferred from the budget of the Guarantee Scheme to the Bond Loan Scheme (see Commission decision of 30 June 2010 in State Aid case N 260/2010, recitals 7-8).
(13) Regarding the functioning of the **Bond Loan Scheme**, loans of Greek State special purpose securities were outstanding for an amount of approximately EUR 2.4 billion, i.e. around 29.6% of the total amount available (EUR 8.1 billion).

3. **Position of Greece**

(14) Greece requests a prolongation of the Schemes until 30 June 2014.

(15) Greece submits that the Schemes constitute State aid within the meaning of Article 107(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (“TFEU”), but is of the view that the proposed prolongation is compatible with the internal market on the basis of Article 107(3)(b) TFEU as it is necessary to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy of Greece.

(16) The Greek authorities consider that the Schemes have proven instrumental in enhancing the liquidity of the banking system, thus contributing to financial stability. Moreover, according to the Greek authorities, the market access of Greek banks is only gradually being restored and liquidity needs are expected to remain elevated. For that reason, the Greek authorities request the prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme.

(17) Further Greece submitted a letter by the Bank of Greece of 3 December 2013 also confirming the need for the prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme until the end of June 2014.

(18) In line with the requirements of the 2011 Prolongation Communication\(^\text{16}\), Greece provided an indicative fee (estimation) for each financial institution eligible to benefit from those guarantees. The estimation was based on an application of the scheme's remuneration formula and recent market data. The indicative fee for the guarantee covering debt with a maturity of less than one year as of November 2013 was 115 basis points (bp) or 90 bp, if the credit institution had eligible collateral. The indicative fees for guarantees with a maturity of one year or more were 106 bp and 81 bp respectively. Those fees are applicable for all credit institutions in Greece as the individual credit default swap (“CDS”) spreads still observed are no longer representative and therefore the Greek authorities will determine the guarantee fee for all banks on the basis of the CDS of the sample of representative European banks in the lowest rating buckets (“BBB and below”).

(19) Greece submitted the following commitments relating to the Schemes:

(i) to grant the guarantees under the support scheme only for new issuance of credit institutions' (banks’) senior debt (subordinated debt is excluded);

(ii) to determine the minimum level of State guarantee remuneration in line with the formula set out in the 2011 Prolongation Communication;

(iii) to submit a restructuring plan, within two months of the granting of the guarantees, for every credit institution that is granted guarantees on new liabilities or renewed liabilities for which, at the time of the granting of new guarantee, the total outstanding guaranteed liabilities (including guarantees accorded before the date of this decision) exceed both a ratio of 5% of total liabilities and the total amount of EUR 500 million;

(iv) to submit individual restructuring or wind-down plans\(^\text{17}\) within two months after the guarantee has been activated for credit institutions which cause the guarantee to be called upon;

(v) to impose a ban on advertising referring to the State support on the beneficiaries of the Schemes and to prevent them from employing any aggressive commercial strategies which would not take place without the State support;

(vi) to grant aid measures under the support Schemes only to credit institutions which have no capital shortfall\(^\text{18}\) and, where a credit institution with a capital shortfall is in urgent need of liquidity, to submit an individual notification to the Commission;

(vii) to report to the Commission on i) the operation of the Schemes, ii) the guaranteed debt issues, and iii) the actual fees charged, on a three-monthly basis, meaning by 15 April 2014 (for the period 1 January 2014 to 31 March 2014) and by 15 July 2014 (for the period 1 April 2014 to 30 June 2014) at the latest; and

(viii) to supplement its reports on the operation of the Schemes with available updated data on the cost of comparable non-guaranteed debt issuances (as regards nature, volume, rating, currency).

4. **Assessment**

4.1. **Existence of State Aid**

(20) According to Article 107(1) TFEU, any aid granted by a Member State or through State resources in any form whatsoever which distorts or threatens to distort competition by favouring certain undertakings or the production of certain goods shall, in so far as it affects trade between Member States, be incompatible with the internal market.

\(^\text{17}\) The plan must be prepared on the basis of the parameters established in the Commission Communication on the return to viability and the assessment of the restructuring measures in the financial sector in the current crisis under the State aid rules (Restructuring Communication), OJ C 195, 19.8.2009, p. 9.

\(^\text{18}\) “No capital shortfall” is certified by the competent supervisory authority, as it is established, in line with point 28 of the Communication from the Commission on the application, from 1 August 2013, of State aid rules to support measures in favour of banks in the context of the financial crisis, OJ C 216, 30.7.2013, p. 1. (“the 2013 Banking Communication”), in a capital exercise, stress test, asset-quality review or an equivalent exercise at Union, euro area or national level.
(21) For the reasons indicated in the Original Decision, the Commission considers that the Schemes constitute State aid within the meaning of Article 107(1) TFEU because it concerns the provision of State resources to a certain sector, i.e. the financial sector, which is open to intense international competition. Under the Schemes, participating credit institutions obtain guarantees and liquidity support under conditions which would not be available to them under market conditions, and so receive an advantage. Given the characteristics of the financial sector, any advantage from State resources to a bank affects intra-Union trade and therefore threatens to distort competition. The measures therefore constitute State aid within the meaning of Article 107(1) TFEU.

4.2. Compatibility of the schemes

4.2.1 Legal basis for the compatibility assessment

(22) Under the Schemes Greece intends to provide aid in the form of guarantees and liquidity support in favour of banks.

(23) Given the exacerbation of tensions in sovereign debt markets that has taken place since 2011 and in light of the persisting circumstances and risks, the Commission considers it appropriate, as confirmed by the 2013 Banking Communication, to examine the measures under Article 107(3)(b) TFEU.

(24) Article 107(3)(b) TFEU empowers the Commission to find that aid is compatible with the internal market if it is intended "to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy of a Member State". The Commission has acknowledged that the global financial crisis can create a serious disturbance in the economy of a Member State and that measures supporting banks are apt to remedy that disturbance. That assessment has been confirmed in the Recapitalisation Communication19 and the Restructuring Communication.20 The Commission still considers that the conditions for State aid to be approved pursuant to Article 107(3)(b) TFEU are present. The Commission confirmed that view by adopting the 2013 Banking Communication21.

(25) The Commission does not dispute the position of the Greek authorities, which is also confirmed by the Central Bank of Greece, that the Schemes have proven instrumental in enhancing the liquidity of the banking system, thus contributing to financial stability, and that the market access of Greek Banks is only gradually being restored and liquidity needs are expected to remain elevated. Hence, the Commission finds that the Schemes aims at remedying a serious disturbance in the Greek economy.

---

21 See points 4 to 6.
(26) Therefore, the Commission continues to base its assessment of State aid measures in the banking sector on Article 107(3)(b) TFEU.

(27) In order for an aid to be compatible under Article 107(3)(b) TFEU, it must comply with the general principles for compatibility under Article 107(3), viewed in the light of the general objectives of the Treaty. Therefore, according to the Commission's case practice\(^{22}\) any aid or scheme must comply with the following conditions: (i) appropriateness (ii) necessity and (iii) proportionality.

(28) The 2013 Banking Communication and the Restructuring Communication formulate assessment criteria which reflect those general principles and their requirements in light of the specific policy context.

**4.2.2 Compatibility assessment of the Schemes**

*Appropriateness*

(29) The Schemes should be appropriate to remedy a serious disturbance in the Greek economy. The objective of the Schemes is to temporarily offer appropriate measures to establish backstops for the financial system in a timely and efficient manner, where banks face difficulties in obtaining sufficient funding. The Commission observes that the crisis has eroded confidence in the creditworthiness of the banks, which results in difficulties in obtaining necessary funding on the financial markets. Hence, a backstop mechanism by the Member State, which in case of urgency ensures that banks would have access to funding, is an appropriate means to strengthen banks and thus to restore market confidence.

(30) Points 23 and 60(a) of the 2013 Banking Communication explain that guarantee schemes will continue to be available in order to provide liquidity to banks but that such schemes should be limited to banks without a capital shortfall. The Commission observes that Greece has committed to restrict the Guarantee Scheme as well the Bond Loan Scheme only to banks without a capital shortfall as certified by the competent supervisory authority.

(31) Further the Commission notes that Greece has committed to grant guarantees only for new issues of banks' senior debt, as prescribed in point 59(a) of the 2013 Banking Communication.

*Necessity*

(32) With regard to the scope of the measure, the Commission notes positively that Greece has limited the size of the Guarantee Scheme by fixing its maximum budget at EUR 84.9 billion and that of the Bond Loan Scheme at EUR 8.1 billion and that the Schemes applies until 30 June 2014.

---

(33) The Commission notes that the under the Guarantee Scheme Greece grants guarantees only on debt instruments with maturities from three months to three years and therefore Greece complies with the requirements in points 59(b) and 60(b) of the 2013 Banking Communication.

(34) Regarding the remuneration level, the Commission observes that for both, the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme, Greece, in line with point 59(c) of the 2013 Banking Communication, has committed to charge at minimum the pricing and other conditions for State guarantees laid down in the 2011 Prolongation Communication which requires, in particular, the application of a pricing method based largely on market data.

(35) Since the beginning of the sovereign debt crisis the CDS of Member States in difficulty have skyrocketed. The CDS of the large banks located in those Member States have increased in line with those of their sovereign. The very high CDS of large banks in programme countries do not seem to primarily reflect their intrinsic risk profile, but are mainly driven by the sovereign risk. That link can lead to a situation in which the application of the guarantee pricing formula based on the individual CDS spread of the bank results in an excessively expensive guarantee, which is not justified by the risk profile of the bank. Therefore, it seems appropriate to consider the CDS spreads of individual banks located in the countries subject to a financial assistance programme as temporarily non-representative of the intrinsic risk of those banks.

(36) On that basis, the Commission does not object to Greek authorities' intention to determine the guarantee fee for banks which still have a traded CDS on the basis of the CDS of the sample of Union banks in the "BBB- and lower" rating category. The Commission will review its assessment of the macro-economic situation and appropriateness of that exceptional pricing mechanism whenever a further prolongation/extension of the scheme is notified.

**Proportionality**

(37) As regards proportionality, the Commission notes, first, that Greece, in line with point 59(d) of the 2013 Banking Communication, has committed to submit a restructuring plan within two months for any bank granted guarantees on new liabilities or on renewed liabilities for which, at the time of the granting of the new guarantee, the total outstanding guaranteed liabilities (including guarantees accorded before the date of the decision) exceed both a ratio of 5% of the bank's total liabilities and a total amount of EUR 500 million. That commitment ensures that the use of Guarantee Scheme will not enable banks with structural weaknesses in their business models to postpone or avoid the necessary adjustments.

(38) Second, the Commission notes that Greece has committed, in line with point 59(f) of the 2013 Banking Communication, to a number of behavioural safeguards such as a ban on advertisements referring to the State support and a ban on any aggressive commercial strategies which would not take place without the State support. Such safeguards help ensure that the participating institutions of the Schemes do not misuse the received State support to expand their activities.
Finally, the Commission welcomes that Greece undertakes to submit individual restructuring or liquidation plans, within two months, for banks which cause the guarantee to be called upon, in line with point 59(e) of the 2013 Banking Communication.

As regards the combination of the Schemes with other aid measures, the Commission recalls that, as indicated in the Annex to the Restructuring Communication, the restructuring plans to be submitted should contain all State aid received as individual aid or under a scheme during the restructuring period.

Furthermore, based on point 16 of the Restructuring Communication, the Commission recalls that, should further aid not initially foreseen in a notified restructuring plan be necessary for the restoration of viability, such additional aid cannot be granted under an approved scheme but needs to be subject to individual ex ante notification. All State aid measures received by a bank as individual aid or under the scheme during the restructuring period will be taken into account in the Commission's final decision on that bank.

**Monitoring**

The Commission welcomes, in line with point 60(c) and (d) of the 2013 Banking Communication, that Greece undertakes to present every three months a report on the operation of the Schemes, on guaranteed issuances and on the actual fees charged and to supplement it with updated available data on the cost of comparable non-guaranteed debt issuances (nature, volume, rating and currency).

**Conclusions on the compatibility of the aid measure**

The prolongation of the Guarantee Scheme and the Bond Loan Scheme remains an appropriate, necessary and proportionate measure to remedy a serious distortion of Greek economy and does not alter the Commission’s previous assessment in the original decision of 19 November 2008 and the prolongation or amendment decisions of 18 September 2009, 25 January 2010, 12 May 2010, 30 June 2010, 21 December 2010, 4 April 2011, 30 June 2011, 6 February 2012, 6 July 2012 and 22 January 2013 and 25 July 2013. The notified prolongation therefore complies with the requirements set out above and is compatible with the internal market pursuant to Article 107(3)(b) TFEU.

In line with the Commission’s decisional practice, the Schemes can therefore be prolonged until 30 June 2014. Any further prolongation/extension will require the Commission’s approval and will have to be based on a review of the developments in financial markets and the schemes’ effectiveness.
5. CONCLUSION

The Commission has accordingly decided to consider the aid to be compatible with the internal market and not to raise objections to the schemes.

Greece exceptionally accepts that the present decision be adopted in the English language, for reasons of urgency.

If this letter contains confidential information which should not be disclosed to third parties, please inform the Commission within fifteen working days of the date of receipt. If the Commission does not receive a reasoned request by that deadline, you will be deemed to agree to the disclosure to third parties and to the publication of the full text of the letter in the authentic language on the Internet site: http://ec.europa.eu/competition/elojade/isef/index.cfm

Your request should be sent by registered letter or fax to:

   European Commission
   Directorate-General for Competition
   State Aid Greffe
   B - 1049 Brussels
   Fax No: +32 2 296 12 42

Yours faithfully,
For the Commission

Joaquín ALMUNIA
Vice-President